## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America )	
v. )	Case No: 1:99CR00075-005
MICHAEL JAMES PATTERSON	USM No: 15337-058
Date of Previous Judgment: 9/27/2000	Erin K. Taylor
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of $\blacksquare$ the defendant $\square$ the Director o $\S 3582(c)(2)$ for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Unite $\S 994(u)$ , and having considered such motion,	osed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
-	reviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE  Previous Offense Level: 34  Criminal History Category: VI  Previous Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months	E (Prior to Any Departures)  Amended Offense Level: 34  Criminal History Category: VI  Amended Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months
<ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>□ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.</li> <li>■ Other (explain): No change in the guideline calculations due to the defendant's classification as a Career Offender.</li> </ul>	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS The defendant is also serving a 120-month concurrent sentence in docket number 4:99CR00043.	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment date IT IS SO ORDERED.	d <u>9/27/2000</u> shall remain in effect.
Order Date: <u>May 18, 2009</u>	MAL
Effective Date:	Lacy H. Thornburg United States District Judge